October 28, 2011

Re: Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for proposed Creekside Memorial Park Cemetery (LP05-2096)

Appendix I: Discrepancies between Table 1.0-1 "Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Creekside Memorial Park Cemetery EIR", found on pages 1.0-6 through 1.0-61, and the detailed discussion in section 3 of the DEIR. Differences highlighted.

Impact /	As shown in Table 1.0-1	As shown in Section 3 (note that the numbers to the left
Mitigation		of the lines are line numbers from the DEIR)
Impact 3.8-2	Impact 3.8-2: Wildland Fires: The upper areas of the site are considered areas subject to wildland fires. The Project Sponsor has indicated they intend to continue cattle grazing as a method of controlling vegetative build-up. (page 1.0-45)	39 Impact 3.8-2: Wildland Fires: This Project is deemed a high-risk land-use due to the location of the 40 Project within a wildland area. This Project is located in State Responsibility Area as designated by the State 41 Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. This Project location is designated as a Fire Hazard Severity Zone 42 as determined by the State of California. (page 3.8-9)
Mitigation	Mitigation 3.8-2: Grazing shall be consistent with the	44 Mitigation 3.8-2(a): Grazing shall be consistent with the
Measure 3.8-2	Mitigation Measures 3.4-2a and 3.4-2b (Bioligical Resources).	Mitigation Measures 3.4-2a and 3.4-2b
(Table 1),	,	45 (Biological Resources).
Mitigation	(page 1.0-45)	(page 3.8-9)
Measure 3.8-		
2(a) (Section		
3.8)		
Mitigation	Not included in Table 1.0-1	47 Mitigation 3.8-2(b): The following measures will reduce the
Measure 3.8-		impact of wildland fires considered a 48 potentially significant Project impact. The Fire Protection
2(b)(Section		District will have final review over the
3.8)		49 Project's compliance with the following measures:
		50 a. The Applicant shall provide a Fire Protection Plan that will minimize and mitigate the fire risk

51 to life and property loss created by this Project. The plan shall address but not be limited to: 52 fuel management, defensible space, access within the facility, access to open space, water 53 supply, evacuation, weather conditions, prevention of ignition and ignition-resistant 54 construction. 55 b. All structures shall be constructed with Class A fire retardant roofina. 1 c. Fire hydrants shall be located along the required access road of the Upper Garden. 2 d. The Fire Protection District shall review all Fire Protection District access roads, Access 3 roads that do not meet Fire Protection District standards shall be subject to the concurrent 4 approval of the Fire Protection Plan. Maximum grade for Fire District access roads shall not 5 exceed 15%. 6 e. Parking areas shall be clearly marked. 7 f. In addition to maintaining the existing fire trail system, additional fire trails may be required 8 to provide access to open space. In the event that additional fire trails are required, the 9 project biologist and Fire Protection District shall work in collaboration with each other to 10 ensure that any additional fire trails will not pose a significant impact to special status 11 species. 13 Implementation of the mitigation measures recommended specifically for the Creekside Memorial 14 Park Cemetery Project will ensure that the potential for wildland fires is reduced to less than 15 significant levels. (page 3.8-9,10)

Mitigation Mitigation Measure 3.9-2e: Consistent with Contra 32 Mitigation Measure 3.9-2e: Consistent with Contra Costa Costa Environmental Health permits and regulations, Environmental Health permits and Measure 3.9water quality sampling and analysis of specified 33 regulations, water quality sampling and analysis of specified bacteriological and chemical parameters shall be 2e bacteriological and chemical required as part of any groundwater supply development 34 parameters shall be required as part of any groundwater supply development program for a small program for a small community water system. Potable 35 community water system. Potable water for domestic uses of water for domestic uses of the project should be provided the Project should be provided from from the well with the best water quality. As a transient 36 the well with the best water quality. As a transient small water small water community system, regular water quality community system, regular water sampling will be required by the State; this information also will be provided to the designated 37 quality sampling will be required by the State. geologist/hydrogeologist. Increased frequency of sampling and an expanded list of analytes may be (page 3.9-27) recommended by the geologist/hydrogeologist in the annual report submitted to the County. (page 1.0-48) 21 Mitigation Measure 3.9-3a: In coordination with Mitigation Mitigation Measure 3.9-3a: Reduce the long-term Mitigation water demand by: Measure 3.4-2d and 3.4-11a-d Measure 3.9-• Decreasing the area and density of plants in the 22 (Biological Resources) reduce the long-term water demand riparian corridor and oak/buckeye woodland 3a by: • Decreasing the area of the traditional cemetery 23 • Decreasing the area and density of plants in the riparian landscaping corridor and oak/buckeve • Decreasing the number of cattle and installing 24 woodland water-saving plumbing (e.g., ULF toilets) 25 • Decreasing the area of the traditional cemetery landscaping • Decreasing the watering requirements of the 26 • Decreasing the number of cattle as specified in Mitigation traditional cemetery landscaping through installation of low-water use grass and plant species and Measure 3.9-2d and installing through implementation of landscape water 27 water-saving plumbing (e.g., ULF toilets) conservation best management practices. 28 • Decreasing the watering requirements of the traditional Maximizing the recharge capability of re-built soils cemetery landscaping through on graded areas, for example with soil amendments 29 installation of low-water use grass and plant species and and mulch, and maintaining the recharge capability through implementation of with rangeland best management practices 30 landscape water conservation best management practices. • Increasing the recharge capability of the stormwater 31 • Maximizing the recharge capability of re-built soils on graded detention facilities, for example, delete impermeable areas, for example with soil liner under vegetated swales. 32 amendments and mulch, and maintaining the recharge (page 1.0-49) capability with rangeland best 33 management practices

monitoring and reporting program (Mitigation Measure 3.9-1c) and from the well drilling and testing program (Mitigation Measure 3.9-2a) and shall apply appropriate hydrologic analyses (e.g., groundwater modeling) to guide groundwater supply development that allows beneficial use of onsite groundwater resources while minimizing long-term impacts. (page 1.0-49, 50) 3 groundwater supply development program shall guide w siting, design, and operation and shall 4 provide an estimate of long-term supply for on-site uses average rainfall, short-term 5 extreme drought, and multi-year drought conditions. Development of water demands (e.g., 6 landscaping) shall be contingent on demonstration of religious groundwater supply. The 7 development program shall utilize available hydrogeologinformation gained from the 8 groundwater monitoring and reporting program (Mitigation Measure 3.9-3d) and from the 9 monitoring well program (Mitigation Measure 3.9-3b) and apply appropriate hydrologic	Mitigation Measure 3.9- 3b (Table 1), 3.9-3c (Section 3.9)	3.9-1c) and from the well drilling and testing program (Mitigation Measure 3.9-2a) and shall apply appropriate hydrologic analyses (e.g., groundwater modeling) to guide groundwater supply development that allows beneficial use of onsite groundwater resources while minimizing long-term impacts.	4 provide an estimate of long-term supply for on-site uses under average rainfall, short-term 5 extreme drought, and multi-year drought conditions. Development of water demands (e.g., 6 landscaping) shall be contingent on demonstration of reliable groundwater supply. The 7 development program shall utilize available hydrogeologic information gained from the 8 groundwater monitoring and reporting program (Mitigation Measure 3.9-3d) and from the 9 monitoring well program (Mitigation Measure 3.9-3b) and shall apply appropriate hydrologic 10 analyses (e.g., groundwater modeling) to guide groundwater supply development that allows 11 beneficial use of on-site groundwater resources while
---	--	--	--

		(page 3.9-29, 30)
Mitigation Measure 3.9- 3b (Section 3.9)	Not included in Table 1.0-1	37 Mitigation Measure 3.9-3b: Develop a monitoring well. Prior to construction of improvements 38 or issuance of grading or construction permits, the Project Sponsor shall submit a plan for siting, 39 design, installation and development of a monitoring well. This well shall be installed on site, as 40 far as possible downstream and shall serve as a dedicated monitoring well for groundwater 41 levels. The well shall be sited, designed, constructed, and developed by the Project Sponsor's 42 hydrogeologist (herein "Project Hydrogeologist"). The Project Hydrogeologist shall prepare 43 monitoring protocols and procedures, including frequency of monitoring, measurement 44 methodology, and procedures for data management, reporting, and data quality assurance/quality 45 control. The siting, design, construction, development and monitoring protocols and procedures 46 shall be reviewed by an independent hydrogeologist hired by the County (and paid for by the 47 Project Sponsor).
Mitigation Measure 3.9- 3c (in Table 1), Mitigation Measure 3.9- 3d (in section 3.9)	Mitigation Measure 3.9-3c: Develop and implement a groundwater monitoring and reporting program that includes at least quarterly measurement of static water levels in selected wells. The monitoring program shall be developed and supervised by a qualified registered geologist, certified hydrogeologist, or professional engineer. The program shall be continued until groundwater levels have stabilized for at least three years. The program shall specify water level measurement, data collection, and reporting protocols and procedures. Water quality sampling may be included. All onsite wells shall be surveyed and well locations shall be mapped. Neighboring wells may be included upon agreement with the well owner. Monthly pumping amounts shall be measured. Brief annual reports shall be	(page 3.9-29) 13 Mitigation Measure 3.9-3d: Develop and implement a groundwater monitoring and reporting 14 program that includes sufficient water wells and monitoring wells to fully characterize groundwater 15 levels. The program shall provide at least quarterly measurement of static water levels in selected 16 wells. The monitoring program shall be developed and supervised by the Project Hydrogeologist. 17 The program shall be reviewed by an independent hydrogeologist hired by the County (and paid 18 for by the Project Sponsor). The program shall be continued until full buildout of improvements 19 have occurred (including all landscaping) and groundwater

	prepared and submitted to Contra Costa Environmental Health Services. In the third year, the annual report shall provide a specific recommendation (with justification) on whether or not the monitoring program shall be continued. The monitoring program shall be coordinated with monitoring of aquatic habitats, including submittal of the groundwater monitoring report to the biologist conducting the aquatic monitoring and the Contra Costa County Community Development Department. (page 1.0-50)	levels have stabilized for a minimum 20 of at least three years, or more, as determined by the Project Hydrogeologist. The program shall 21 specify water level measurement, data compilation, and reporting protocols and procedures. 22 Water quality sampling may be included (both groundwater and surface waters of Tassajara 23 Creek). All on-site wells shall be surveyed and well locations shall be mapped. Neighboring wells 24 may be included upon agreement with the well owner, with the understanding that monitoring 25 information will be available to the public. For on-site wells, monthly pumping amounts shall be 26 measured. Brief annual reports shall be prepared and submitted to Contra Costa Environmental 27 Health Services. In the third year after full buildout of the Project Site, the annual report shall 28 provide a specific recommendation (with justification) on whether or not the monitoring program 29 shall be continued. The monitoring program shall be coordinated with monitoring of aquatic 30 habitats, including submittal of the groundwater monitoring report to the biologist conducting the 31 aquatic monitoring and to the Contra Costa County Department of Conservation & Development. 32 Final approval shall rest with the County's Zoning Administrator. (page 3.9-30)
Impact 3.9-4	Impact 3.9-4: Interference with Pre-Existing Nearby Wells: The proposed project would utilize groundwater from wells on the property. Currently four wells are located on the property. The number of wells needed to meet the estimated water demand of 45 AFY would range from 4 to 12 wells; additional wells would be needed for backup, depending on the amount of planned storage. The location of additional wells has not been determined. While the existing wells are all located in the Tassajara Valley, wells could be located throughout the	45 Impact 3.9-4: Interference with Pre-Existing Nearby Wells: The Proposed Project would utilize 46 groundwater from wells on the property. Currently four wells are located on the property, as shown in 47 Figure 3.9-3. The number of wells needed to meet the estimated water demand of 45 AFY would range 48 from 4 to 12 wells; additional wells would be needed for backup, depending on the amount of planned

property. Based on pumping test data, wells should be located at least 100 feet from other wells, the property lines and environmentally sensitive areas, such as Tassajara Creek and wetlands. This would minimize short-term drawdown impacts of pumping. However, long-term pumping of the wells to provide 45 AFY would cause depletion of groundwater storage, declines in groundwater level declines, and a decrease in downstream subsurface outflow.

(page 1.0-51)

49 storage. The location of additional wells has not been determined. While the existing wells are all located

- 50 in the Tassajara Valley, wells could be located throughout the property. Long-term pumping of the wells to
- 51 provide 45 AFY has a substantial potential to cause depletion of groundwater storage, declines in
- 52 groundwater levels, and a decrease in downstream subsurface outflow.

(page 3.9-30)

Mitigation measure 3.9-4a (Table 1), Mitigation Measure 3.9-4 (Section 3.9)

Mitigation Measure 3.9-4a: Develop and implement a well drilling and testing program. The drilling and testing program shall be developed and supervised by a qualified registered geologist or certified hydrogeologist. The program shall include siting and design, aguifer testing, and water sampling and analysis of all new wells planned for installation over the two years of project development. Pumping tests shall include monitoring of neighboring wells within 100 feet of the test well, with permission of the well owner. Unless otherwise demonstrated by pumping test data, wells should be located at least 100 feet from other wells, the property lines and environmentally sensitive areas (such as Tassajara Creek and wetlands) to minimize drawdown impacts of pumping. The aquatic biologist shall inspect potential well locations and advise on potential impacts to any aquatic habitats. Well yields may be expected to range between 3 and 30 gpm. Well construction would include a minimum of 6-inch diameter well casing (PVC or Steel) with properly designed perforations. (The 6-inch casing shall provide additional water storage.) Monitoring of neighbors well shall be triggered if the neighbor requests it or static water level drops of 10 feet or more. Each test and production well shall be fully documented in a well report that shall be submitted to Contra Costa County Environmental Health Services.

(page 1.0-51)

Mitigation Measure 3.9-4: Develop and implement a production well drilling and testing

- 55 program. The drilling and testing program shall be developed and supervised by the Project
- 1 Hydrogeologist. The program shall include siting and design, aquifer testing, and water sampling
- 2 and analysis of all new production wells planned for installation over the two years of Project
- 3 development. That program shall be reviewed by an independent hydrogeologist hired by the
- 4 County (and paid for by the Project Sponsor. Pumping tests shall include monitoring of the
- 5 monitoring well (Mitigation Measure 3.9-3b) and neighboring wells within 100 feet of the test well,
- 6 with permission of the well owner. Unless otherwise demonstrated by pumping test data, wells
- 7 should be located at least 100 feet from other wells, the property lines and environmentally
- 8 sensitive areas (such as Tassajara Creek and wetlands) to minimize drawdown impacts of
- 9 pumping. The aquatic biologist shall inspect potential well locations and advise on potential
- 10 impacts to any aquatic habitats. Well yields may be expected to range between 3 and 30 gpm.
- 11 Well construction would include a minimum of 6-inch diameter well casing (PVC or Steel) with
- 12 properly designed perforations (The 6-inch casing shall

		provide additional water storage). 13 14 Each test and production well shall be fully documented in a Well Report that shall be submitted 15 to Contra Costa County Environmental Health Services and Department of Conservation & 16 Development Zoning Administrator. The Well Reports shall address potential impacts of 17 Proposed Project pumping on existing neighboring wells. This includes short-term pumping 18 (drawdown) impacts and long-term impacts of groundwater pumping, including dry season and 19 drought conditions. The significance of potential impacts shall be assessed consistent with 20 Appendix G (i.e., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which 21 would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted). (page 3.9-30 and 31)
Mitigation Measure 3.9- 4b (Table 1)	Mitigation Measure 3.9-4b: In the first three years of the monitoring program, a procedure shall be implemented wherein a neighboring well owner can report well yield or quality problems to the designated geologist/hydrogeologist. If the well problems are reasonably associated with the proposed project, the geologist/hydrogeologist shall conduct a focused investigation of the cause of the problem and shall recommend one or more solutions in a technical memorandum to Contra Costa County Environmental Health Services, copied to the affected well owner and cemetery operator. The affected well owner shall provide available information on the affected well, including water level and water quality data, the DWR water well drillers report, and information on well operation. The well owner also should provide the geologist/hydrogeologist with access to the well for inspection. Recommended solutions may include lowering of the pump, well	Not included in Section 3.9

	deepening, well replacement, or operational change in cemetery well operations. The project proponent shall bear the costs related to the project impacts. After three years, the geologist/hydrogeologist shall provide a report to Contra Costa County Environmental Health Services summarizing remedial actions and providing a recommendation to continue or discontinue the program. (page 1.0-51, 52)	
Mitigation Measure 3.9 (Section 3.9)	Not included in Table 1.0-1	11 Mitigation Measure 3.9: Implement mitigation measures 3.9-1a – 3.9-1b, 3.9-2a – 3.9-2e, 3.9-12 3a – 3.9-3d, and 3.9-4ab. Monitoring of groundwater and stream quantity and quality would allow 13 documentation of current conditions (establishing a baseline) and detection or tracking of quantity 14 declines and quality deterioration. Implementation of watershed management BMPs would aid in 15 maintaining groundwater recharge and water quality. Implementation of water conservation BMPs 16 would manage water demands.
Impact 3.10-1	Impact 3.10-1: Consistency With Land Use Plans: The cemetery project is consistent with the zoning for the site and is generally consistent with all of the General Plan policies. The potential for exceeding the available water supply is considered to be a significant unavoidable impact, and is discussed in more detail in the Hydrology Section 3.9. This is a potentially significant impact. Similarly, the potential for the project, as currently designed, to have a cumulative impact on local wells is also a potentially significant impact. These concerns are more fully addressed in Impacts 3.9-1, 3.9-2 and 3.9-5. (page 1.0-52)	48 Impact 3.10-1: Consistency With Land Use Plans: The Cemetery Project is consistent with the zoning 49 for the Project Site and is generally consistent with all of the General Plan policies. It is possible for a project 50 to conflict with specific policies while maintaining consistency with the intent and direction General Plan 51 goals, when considered in the overall planning context. The Project is consistent, after mitigation measures 52 are implemented, with all but a few policies. 53 54 Policy 8-76 of the Water Resources Element states, "Ensure that land uses in rural areas be consistent with 55 the availability of groundwater resources." 1 2 The potential for exceeding the available water supply is

		considered to be a significant unavoidable impact, 3 and is discussed in more detail in the Hydrology Section 3.9. This is a potentially significant impact. 4 5 Similarly, the potential for the Project, as currently designed, to have a cumulative impact on local wells is 6 also a potentially significant impact. These concerns are more fully addressed in Impacts 3.9-2, 3.9-3 and 7 3.9-4. (Page 3.10-8, 9)
Mitigation	Mitigation Measure 3.10-1: See Mitigation Measures	9 Mitigation Measure 3.10-1: See Mitigation Measures 3.9-2,
measure 3.10-	3.9-1, 3.9-2 and 3.9-5.	3.9-3 and 3.9-4.
1	(page 1.0-52)	(Page 3.10-9)
Impact 3.12-1 (Table 1)	Impact 3.12-1: Wildland Fires: Most of the project site and the surrounding area include open grasslands. The location of the cemetery buildings adjacent to undeveloped grasslands could increase the chance of wildland fires spreading into the wildland. The project proposes to provide two paved accesses that meet Fire Code standards (project plans show streets at 32" wide). The hazard associated with a possible wildland fire would be considered a potentially significant project impact. (page 1.0-55)	Not included in Section 3.12
Mitigation Measure 3.12- 1 (Table 1)	Mitigation Measure 3.12-1: The following measures (identified by the SRVFPD) will reduce the risk of wildland fires: a. Maximum grade for an emergency access road shall not exceed 20 percent and grades in excess of 15 percent shall be grooved concrete surfaces. Emergency vehicle access (EVA) shall meet the requirements for fire department access as indicated in the Fire Code (minimum width of 20 feet with an all-weather road surface capable of supporting the imposed weight of fire department apparatus). b. The SRVFPD shall reserve the right to review the	Not included in Section 3.12

Bill and Holly Newman 10 of 18 October 28, 2011

	development plan as it relates to the existing fire trail system. Firefighting equipment access shall be provided to all areas of the project site in accordance with fire access standards of the SRVFPD and the adopted California Fire Code. c. All structures shall be constructed with fire retardant roofing and interior sprinklers and landscaping around structures be designed to minimize the interface between grassland areas and structures (e.g., fire resistant vegetation). d. An open space fire management plan shall be prepared which shall include a fire safety component (to keep fire risk at reasonable levels in open space areas) subject to the approval of the SRVFPD. The plan shall identify vegetation mitigation and control, maintenance intervals and responsibility, restrictions on vehicle access, water supply and long-term risk management. Minimum standards for plan review are available from the SRVFPD. e. The SRVFPD shall review and approve (with respect to fire vehicle access) the development plan relative to any roads less than 36 feet wide (in order that minimum street widths, on-street parking lanes and shoulders accommodate the passage of emergency vehicles). Roadways less than 36 feet shall have restricted parking and shall be posted as required by the California Vehicle Code for a fire lane. Implementation of the mitigation measures recommended specifically for the Creekside Memorial Park project will ensure that the potential for wildland fires is reduced to less than significant levels. (page 1.0-55, 56)	
Impact 3.12-2 (Table 1), Impact 3.12-1 (Section 3.12)	Impact 3.12-2: Fire Protection: Construction of the Proposed Project would increase the demand for fire protection services. Development will be required to meet the basic requirements of the Fire District, and development of this type (a cemetery) is not expected to substantially increase the risk of fire. While current facility personnel and equipment are adequate, the following measures, required by the SRVFPD, will ensure the impacts are	21 Impact 3.12-1: Fire Protection: The SRVFPD reviewed the Project to determine whether this Project 22 would exceed, or significantly impact, their ability to provide services. At this time Fire Station #36 (a new 23 station at the corner of Camino Tassajara and Lusitano) would be the primary responding unit to the

less than significant.	24 Camino Tassajara areas with additional resources provided by
	Fire Station #30 and Fire Station #35.
(page 1.0-56)	25 The response times to the Camino Tassajara area from Station
	#36 will exceed 5 minutes total response
	26 time and exceed the recommended 1.5 miles in the General
	<mark>Plan.</mark>
	<mark>27</mark>
	28 Given a rural area designation, response time studies
	conducted by the Fire District using GIS, the entire
	29 Camino Tassajara area could be served from Station #36 and
	the Blackhawk Fire Station #35 and
	30 Dougherty Valley Station #30. However, the response times to
	this area from Station #36 for both the
	31 new and existing locations could exceed 5 minutes. As
	proposed, the Project would be consistent with
	32 General Plan 7-63 due to the rural designation. Given these
	considerations a new location or a location
	33 closer to the Windemere Parkway is desirable.
	34 35 The Proposed Project <mark>, due to the increased number of visitors</mark>
	to the Project Site, would increase the
	36 demand for fire, emergency and medical response services.
	The activities associated with the Project
	37 and the extended emergency response times would be
	considered a potentially significant Project impact.
	38 The SRVFPD has stated that the Proposed Project is a high-
	risk land-use due to the proposed activities
	39 including, but not limited to, outdoor public assembly within
	native vegetation designated as a hazardous
	40 fire area, wildland fire hazards, use of equipment that may
	produce an ignition source, reduced road
	41 widths that do not meet Fire District access requirements,
	private water storage for fire fighting and fire
	42 protection systems, and extended response times for
	emergency response equipment. In addition, the
	43 Proposed Project includes seating accommodations for 316
	people not including outdoor public
	44 assemblies and accommodations for more than 200 vehicles,
	not including the upper garden which

Mitigation Measure 3.12- 2 (Table 1), Mitigation Measure 3.12- 1 (Section	Mitigation Measure 3.12-2: Prior to issuance of building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence (stamped plans by the appropriate Fire District) that the appropriate Fire District has approved the proposed development for compliance with all Fire District requirements. Implementation of the mitigation measures recommended for the Creekside Memorial Park project will ensure that any impacts to fire protection will be reduced to less than significant levels.	49 <i>Mitigation Measure</i> 3.12-1: Prior to issuance of building permit, the Project Sponsor shall 50 provide evidence (stamped plans by the appropriate Fire District) that the appropriate Fire District 51 has approved the proposed development for compliance with all Fire District requirements. 52 1. Provide a Fire Station site that may be used for the construction of a future fire station. The
3.12)	(page 1.0-56)	53 facility (as yet unplanned) will be the subject of independent CEQA review as deemed 54 appropriate by the Lead Agency. 1 2. The Project Sponsor shall provide a Fire Protection Plan that will 1 minimize and mitigate the 2 fire risk to life and property loss created by this Project. The plan shall address but not be 3 limited to; fuel management, defensible space, access within the facility, access to open 4 space, water supply, evacuation, weather conditions, prevention of ignition, and ignitions
		resistant construction and other standard Fire District conditions of approval. 6 3. All structures shall be required to install an automatic fire sprinkler system. 7 4. Staff members shall be trained in CPR/First Aid. Automatic-external defibrillators shall be 8 provided in areas of public assembly. 9 5. All construction and operational permits required by the Fire District shall be reviewed and
Dill and Hally No	12 of 10	10 approved prior to obtaining a permit for the Building Department to construct. 11 6. Any modifications to the required Fire District access

Bill and Holly Newman 13 of 18 October 28, 2011

		standards are subject to approval based 12 on the conditions and requirements that will be considered in the review and approval of the 13 Fire Protection Plan. (page 3.12-6, 7)
Mitigation Measure 3.12- 2 (Section 3.12)	Not included in Table 1.0-1	38 Mitigation Measure 3.12-2: The Project shall comply with the following measures: 39 1. The required storage capacity shall be dedicated for fire flow. The Project Sponsor shall 40 develop a maintenance program to ensure the required capacity is available and the entire 41 system is operational. The maintenance program shall be subject to review and approval of 42 the Fire District. 43 2. All structures shall be required to install an automatic fire sprinkler system. (page 3.12-7)
Impact 3.12-3 (Table 1), Impact 3.12-2 (Section 3.12)	Impact 3.12-3: Fire Flow: The project would result in an increased water demand for fire flow requirements necessitating the construction of new facilities to meet the fire flow requirement demands of the Proposed Project site. The Project is located outside of the service area of any public water purveyor. Fire flow shall be provided via the 332,500 gallon (amount dedicated to firefighting and fire sprinkler system) distribution system. Improvements occurring with development of the Proposed Project would be designed to accommodate the increased demand for water to meet the fire flow standards as noted in Mitigation 3.12-2, above. This is a potentially significant impact. (page 1.0-56)	31 Impact 3.12-2: Fire Flow: The Project would result in an increased water demand for fire flow 32 requirements in accordance with the SRVFPD Fire Code as set forth for the protection of structures. The 33 Project proposes to provide a private water system. The water storage capacity will be determined based 34 on the largest building that includes a reduction in fire flow of 50% for the installation of an automatic fire 35 sprinkler system. A private water system is considered less desirable than a water purveyor due to the 36 limited capacity and that it is potentially less reliable. (page 3.12-7)
Mitigation Measure 3.12-	Mitigation Measure 3.12-3: The project shall comply with Mitigation Measure 3.12-2, above.	Not included in Section 3.12

Bill and Holly Newman 14 of 18 October 28, 2011

3 (Table 1)	(page 1.0-56)	
Impact 3.12-4 (Table 1)	Impact 3.12-4: Police Protection: The Proposed Project could result in increased demand for police protection services that are provided primarily by the Contra Costa County Sheriff's Department while current staffing levels are recognized as being lower than the standards set by the General Plan, the Proposed Project will only nominally increase calls as it is a non-residential use and nominal impact to the Department's ability to maintain response times. The Project Sponsor shall pay any applicable fees. (page 1.0-56, 57)	Not included in Section 3.12
Mitigation Measure 3.12- 4 (Table 1)	Mitigation Measure 3.12-4: To deter vandalism and trespassing, the Project shall install security cameras at the entry gates and perimeter fencing. (page 1.0-56)	Not included in Section 3.12
Impact 3.13-1	Impact 3.13-1: Impacts to Resources: Although this is a memorial park, it is reasonable to assume that visitors to the park walk outside of the gardens, roads and entombment lawns and onto the hillside or into the riparian corridor possibly damaging flora and fauna habitat and exacerbating erosion. This is potentially a significant impact. (page 1.0-57)	20 Impact 3.13-1: Impacts to Resources: Although this Proposed Project is a cemetery, it is reasonable to 21 assume that visitors to the park walk outside of the gardens, roads and entombment lawns and onto the 22 hillside or into the riparian corridor possibly damaging flora and fauna habitat and exacerbating erosion. This 23 is potentially a significant impact. (page 3.13-3)
Impact 3.14-1 (Table 1), Impact 3.14-2 (Section 3.14)	Impact 3.14-1: Internal Circulation: The Proposed Project"s internal streets would be 24 feet in width with parallel parking on one side of the roadway leaving 17 feet for vehicular travel. A letter from the San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District, dated June 27, 2006 indicates that the width of the proposed roadways is acceptable. However a condition of this acceptance is that cemetery staff assures all processions park on the same side of the road when arriving for graveside ceremonies. This will assure a clear access path in case of an emergency during a ceremony. This would be considered a less than significant impact.	32 Impact 3.14-2. Internal Circulation: The Proposed Project's internal streets would be 24 feet in width with 33 parallel parking on one side of the roadway leaving 17 feet for vehicular travel. Communications from the 34 San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District during the Spring of 2011 indicates that the width of the proposed 35 roadways is unacceptable. Internal roadways with unrestricted are required to be 36 feet wide. Roadways 36 with parking allowed on one side are required to be 28 feet wide and roadways with no parking may be as
Dill III II AI	(page 1.0-57)	

Bill and Holly Newman 15 of 18 October 28, 2011

	37 narrow as 20 feet wide.
	(page 3.14-14)
Not included in Table 1.0-1	11 Impact 3.14-1. Frontage Improvements: Frontage improvements will include pavement widening and 12 striping on both sides of Camino Tassajara. All necessary drainage facilities, pavement transitions, and any 13 necessary safety related improvements will be constructed. Currently the total width of the existing 14 pavement, both northbound and southbound lanes, on Camino Tassajara is approximately 23 feet along 15 the Project frontage. The pavement will be widened to provide 12 foot wide left turn lanes, 12 foot wide 16 acceleration/deceleration lanes and 12 foot wide through lanes with painted medians. (Page 3.14-14)
Mitigation Measure 3.14-1: Cemetery staff shall assume that all processionals park on one side of the road to accommodate emergency vehicles. (page 1.0-57)	18 Mitigation Measure 3.14-1: Frontage improvements shall be implemented before the Project's 19 opening day. Intersection improvements must meet the approval of the Public Works Department, 20 including the County Traffic Engineer. The Public Works Department shall be involved early in the 21 design process for detailed review and approval of submittals of sketch plans accompanied with the 22 traffic analysis. (page 3.14-14)
Impact 3.14-2: Cumulative Traffic Flow Conditions: The minor street approach of the unsignalized intersection of Camino Tassajara/Project Entry is expected to operate unacceptably at LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours. (page 1.0-57)	30 Impact 3.14-3: Cumulative Traffic Flow Conditions: The minor street approach of the unsignalized 31 intersection of Camino Tassajara/Project Entry is expected to operate unacceptably at LOS F during the 32 AM and PM peak hours. However, the intersection does not meet the criteria for a signal warrant.
	Mitigation Measure 3.14-1: Cemetery staff shall assume that all processionals park on one side of the road to accommodate emergency vehicles. (page 1.0-57) Impact 3.14-2: Cumulative Traffic Flow Conditions: The minor street approach of the unsignalized intersection of Camino Tassajara/Project Entry is expected to operate unacceptably at LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours.

Bill and Holly Newman 16 of 18 October 28, 2011

		(page 3.14-18)
Mitigation Measure 3.14- 2 (Section 3.14)	Not included in Table 1.0-1	39 Mitigation Measure 3.14-2: Modifications to access roadways will be required. The required Fire 40 District access standards are subject to approval based on the conditions and requirements that 41 will be considered in the review and approval of the Fire Protection Plan (see Mitigation Measure 42 3.12-2). (page 3.14-14)
Mitigation Measure 3.14- 2 (Table 1), Mitigation Measure 3.14- 3 (Section 3.14)	Mitigation Measure 3.14-2: The intersection does not meet a signal warrant. Most of the vehicles making the critical movement exiting eastbound to the left would result from a late afternoon funeral service. The cemetery management should not allow AM or PM peak hour services to be scheduled. In some special circumstances, there may be a need to schedule services during the AM or PM peak hours (i.e., service for policemen, firemen or celebrities). In this case, motorcycle traffic control escorts should assist with all traffic movements at this intersection for the duration of the service. Therefore, any delay caused at the intersection would be minimal and would not necessitate a signal. Implementation of the restricted scheduling and motorcycle escorts when necessary will reduce impacts at the Camino Tassajara/Project Entry intersection to levels of less than significant. (page 1.0-57, 58)	34 Mitigation Measure 3.14-3: Most of the vehicles making the critical movement exiting eastbound 1 to the left would result from a late afternoon funeral service. 1 The cemetery management shall not 2 allow AM or PM peak hour services to be scheduled. In some special circumstances, there may be 3 a need to schedule services during the AM or PM peak hours (i.e., service for policemen, firemen or 4 celebrities). In this case, motorcycle traffic control escorts should assist with all traffic movements 5 at this intersection for the duration of the service. Therefore, any delay caused at the intersection 6 would be minimal and would not necessitate the need for signalization. 7 8 Implementation of the restricted scheduling and motorcycle escorts when necessary will reduce 9 impacts at the Camino Tassajara/Project Entry intersection to levels of less than significant. (page 3.14-18, 19)
Impact 3.15a	Impact 3.15a: Construction and demolition activities necessary for project development could generate significant levels of solid waste disposal (including disposal of vegetative waste and construction debris) if proper mitigation measures are not implemented.	Not included in Section 3.15
Bill and Holly Ne	awman 17 of 18	October 28, 2011

Bill and Holly Newman 17 of 18 October 28, 2011

	(page 1.0-58)	
Mitigation measure 3.15a	Mitigation Measure 3.15a: The Project Sponsors shall be required to complete the construction debris recovery plan and report to demonstrate compliance with the County's requirement for diversion of construction and demolition debris per Chapter 418-14 of the County Code. Implementation of this Mitigation Measure will help maintain the County's waste diversion level in compliance with AB939. Implementation of the mitigation recommended for the Creekside Project will ensure that the impacts related to solid waste disposal are reduced to less than significant.	Not included in Section 3.15

Bill and Holly Newman 18 of 18 October 28, 2011